THE WASHINGTON POST, FRIDAY,

Stephen S. Rosenfeld

on the intelligence bureaucrats, and the darker, more hawkish and more pessimistic estimates prevailed. This happened during the Carter period.

So it was that when when Casey arrived at the CIA and checked out the estimating process, he found, it sound, inceding attention to performance but not design You can read this exercise lower. design. You can read this several ways. It could be evidence that the process has indeed achieved the goal, so venerated by analysts, of turning out a politics-proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, inheriting a full set of political biases, didn't think or care the evenine that

The quarrel that William J. Casey and his fellow speculators had over an investment turkey in the 1970s is piquant, and the play in the Sonate Intelligence Committee about his tenure as CIA director is instructive. But what I have wanted to know is whether this erstwhile World War II spy runner, lawyer, diplomat, banker and Reagan campaign directorocoal provide good intelligence.

Start at Team B, then-CIA director George Bush's mid-70s panel of outside experts set up to critique the CIA-led intelligence community's analysts on the Soviet threat. The argument then was whether Team B was a healthy "competitive review" of a shop that had gone intelligence community's analysts on the Soviet threat. The argument then was whether Team B was a healthy "competitive review" of a shop that had gone intelligence community's analysts, of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof product. Or it could mean that Casey, in the life of turning out a political proof out the surface of turning out a political proof out be extended to turn the product of turning out a political proof out the surface of turning out a political proof out to be extended to the case of turning out a political proof out the surface of turning out a political proof out the surface out to resolve the life of turning out a political proof out to resolve the life out to review out to resolve the life out to review out to review out to review out the surface out to review out to revie heriting a full set of political biases, didn't think or care to examine them.

In any event, confidence in the product brime at Langley, So, does confidence in a process based on a notion of multiple competting centers of analysis, those centers being inside the separate government departments and outside in the academic, business and scientific communities. Such competition is traditional, but it is now being tended with a tional, but it is now being tended with a

It is not just the Team B episode that underlies the current insistence that pursuit of diversity has been institution-alized. Albert Wohlstetter's earlier pursuit of diversity has been institution-alized. "Albert Wohlstattor's earlier-charge that the intelligence community had." "systematically" underestimated Soviet missile deployment is taken as the guiding gospiel. Israel's pre-1973 or-ganizing of its intelligence service on centralized rather than competitive lines is taken as a real-life object lesson.

is taken as a real-life object lesson.

Casey has kept. (departmental) disgents in the texts of estimates. Oddly,
in one estimate his was recorded as the
sloel dissent. He has thrown back a
number of estimates on the stated
grounds that they fell short intellectually, did not address the right question
or did not include (or explain the absence of) key evidence. The "right
question"? The other day, The New
York, Times reported, Casey said he
had rejected estimates on Africa and
Latin America that had "not addressed.
Soviet interests, activities and influ-Soviet Interests, activities and influThe one Casey-era CIA document I have seen is a "tesearch paper," "Patterns of International Terrorism: 1980," published in June, Press reports have said Casey o'fdered it to blame the Soviet Union; it does—as a victim of terrorism as well as a party "deeply engaged in support of revolutionary violence." Again oddly, Casey is now held to feel that the paper was published to satisfy a State Department wish to get the numbers out and that the analysis in it was not good enough. On the lat-

the numbers out and that the analysis in it was not good enough. On the latter point, surely he's right.

It is worth noting that the people running the analysis side enjoy wide respect, not least from some of those who regard Casey as a buccaneer. One of these is his deputy, Adm. Bobby Ray Inman, who has won high and unusually unpatronizing civilian regard for his seriousness and competence. Another is the new chief of estimates, former. Whiz Kid, RAND president and professor Henry S. Rowen, a quality defense intellectual.

It is also worth noting that among It, is also worth noting that among the CIA's congressional, overseers there is no visible inclination to question the Casey analytical approach. Sen. Richard Lugar (R. Ind.) says approvingly that Casey is bringing a "new vigor" and "a different point of view," and Sen. Daniel P. Moyniland (D-N.Y.) feels that "there is no reason to think Casey is going in the wrong direction."

If el on shaky ground in saying this.

direction."

I feel on shaky ground in saying this, but I am not entirely reassured. I suspect that Casey has reinforced the premises he found when he arrived and premises he found when he arrived and that the agency is looking at the world-through red-colored glasses. Intelligence cannot be politics-free. It should not be. But is there now the proper tension between politicians with their inevitable demand for crisp answers and (good) analysts with their natural drive for clear questions? I am not suggesting that intelligence is being politically cooked but that it is being politically cooked on. Where is Team B? William Raspberry

## Debts To Society: A Cheaper Way

The woman, competent and bright is confident that she is on the way well-paid—and unlikely—cares word processing. The confidence stems from the fact that she is the top atus dent in her training class. What makes it all unlikely is that she has spent several years of her life mixed up in hard drugs, prostitution and God knows what else.

what else.

In fact, it was a recent criminal conviction that brought her to the Innet
Voices restitution program and
thence, into the training program
Rhozier T. "Roach" Brown, executive director of Inner Voices, points her out as evidence that restitution as an alter-

native to imprisonment can work. He talks a little more reluctantly about another client who, though bright enough to have won a special scholarship, has dropped out of the training part of the program in favor of

Mark Shields

orry, Democrate

